8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

Sheila Polk 1 Yavapai County Attorney 2 Firm No. 00048700 Jack H. Fields, SBN 012470 3 Deputy County Attorney 255 E. Gurley Street 4 Prescott AZ 86301 Telephone: (928) 771-3344 5 Email: vcao@co.yavapai.az.us 6 Attorneys for STATE OF ARIZONA 7

STORGROUPET Yan Til Hallyang Zena

2011 JAN -4 AM 10: 35 ~

ULMINE MUNS. CLERK

BY: A CASCIO

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

## IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

MOTION TO STRIKE PLEADING

vs.

Div. 5

STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,

Honorable Cele Hancock

Defendant.

The State of Arizona, by and through Sheila Sullivan Polk, Yavapai County Attorney, and her deputy undersigned, hereby moves for an Order to Strike the "Motion to Remove Attorney" filed by William E. Williams, because he lacks the standing to bring such a motion to remove an

attorney in a criminal case, and because the other relief he asks for is already at issue before the

21 | court.

On approximately October 29, 2010, the Court has granted standing to Mr. Williams based on his claim as a journalist for the limited purpose of challenging the sealing of certain records by the court in case number CR20101339. See, <u>Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court</u>, 464 U.S. 501 (1984); <u>Phoenix Newspapers</u>, <u>Inc. v. Superior Court</u>, 140 Ariz. 30, 680 P.2d 166 (App. 1983). However, in his "Motion to Remove Attorney" Mr. Williams targets former

The parties in felony criminal proceedings are the state, represented by the County Attorney (A.R.S. §11-532(A)(1)), and the defendant. News organizations are granted a limited right to intervene to challenge an order that would violate constitutional provisions mandating a free press and a public trial only. See, <u>Press-Enterprise Co. v. Superior Court</u>, 464 U.S. 501 (1984). To allow Mr. Williams standing to move the court to sanction an attorney participating in a criminal case would allow anyone to become a party in a criminal case, to file motions challenging the actions of the court and the attorneys. The result would be absolute chaos in the criminal justice system. It should not be allowed.

Mr. Williams last request is that the court "[i]mmediately unseal the motions and responses of the attorneys regarding the withdrawal from the case." But Mr. Williams has already requested this relief, in a pleading filed on October 7, 2010 that requests that all records in this file be released. This issue is scheduled to argued on January 24, 2010. In short, this current motion asks for relief Mr. Williams has already asked for, or for relief that he has not standing to ask for. Since this motion has no meaning or purposes, it is frivolous and should be stricken as such.

///

24 1///

25 1///

26 ///

1	WHEREFORE, the Yavapai County Attorney prays that this Court strike Mr. William's
2	Motion to Remove Attorney.
3	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this day of January, 2011.
4	Sheila Polk
5	YAVAPAI COUNTY ATTORNEY
6	Del del
7	By: All H. Fields
8	Deputy County Attorney
9	COPY of the foregoing mailed this day of January, 2011, to:
10	
11	Hon. Cele Hancock 120 S. Cortez
12	Prescott, AZ 86303
13	Craig Williams  DeRienzo and Williams, PLLC  3681 N. Robert Rd.  Prescott Valley, AZ 86314
14	
15	
16	Attorneys for Defendant
17	David J. Bodney Peter S. Kozinets Aaron J. Lockwood
18	
19	Steptoe & Johnson 201 E. Washington Street
20	Suite 1600
21	Phoenix, AZ 85004-2382
22	Attorneys for Western News & Info, Inc.
23	William E. Williams
24	824 W. Gurley St. Ste. 129
25	Prescott, AZ 86303
26	By: A Recioido